IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA CHARLOTTE DIVISION

FS	MED	ICAL	SUPPL	JES.	LLC.

Plaintiff,

v.

TANNERGAP, INC. AND TANNER PHARMA UK LIMITED,

Defendants;

and

FS MEDICAL SUPPLIES, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

TANNER PHARMA UK LIMITED; RAYMOND FAIRBANKS BOURNE; MARY EVERETT BOURNE,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:21-cv-501-RJC-WCM Case No. 3:23-cv-598-RJC-WCM

NOTICE OF ADDITIONAL INFORMATION IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL PRODUCTION OF ESI FROM JONATHAN BRACEY'S MOBILE PHONE

Tanner Pharma UK Limited ("TPUK") and TannerGAP, Inc. ("TannerGAP," and together, the "Tanner Defendants") respectfully submit this Notice of Additional Information in Opposition to Plaintiff FS Medical Supplies, LLC ("FSMS") motion to compel production of ESI from Jonathan Bracey's mobile phone (ECF 174) and FSMS's Notice of Additional Information (ECF No. 199) (the "Notice").

1. The Notice continues to ignore the most critical aspect of Mr. Bracey's position:

Mr. Bracey will not permit the *removal* of irrelevant personal data from his phone. Mr. Bracey

testified that as long as the data "stays on my phone and doesn't go anywhere, then that's fine."

(ECF No. 199-1).

2. Both Mr. Kiefer's declaration and FSMS's October 4, 2024 correspondence

confirm that their collection method would require a forensic image of Mr. Bracey's device – i.e.,

a removal of all data (including irrelevant personal data) from his phone to transmit that data to

another location. See ECF No. 199-2, Declaration of Tim Kiefer ¶ 7 ("A forensic image is extracted

from the device "); ECF No. 199-3 ("The procedure involves using a computer to write a

forensic image of Mr. Bracey's phone ")

3. In fact, the Tanner Defendants explained this to FSMS on October 8, 2024 and

informed FSMS that the Tanner Defendants "confirmed with Mr. Bracey that the specific process

identified in your letter and set forth in Mr. Kiefer's declaration does not assuage his concerns,

and he continues to object to a forensic image of his device." See 2024.10.08 Response to October

4 Bracey ESI Letter (Ex. A). It is telling that this response was not submitted to the Court despite

being sent to FSMS via email a full day before its filing, as it addresses the issue raised directly.

4. For the reasons set forth in the Tanner Defendants' Opposition (ECF No. 176), the

Tanner Defendants cannot force him to allow a forensic image of his device.

This the 10th day of October 2024.

McGuireWoods LLP

By: /s/ Anita M. Foss

Bradley R. Kutrow

N.C. State Bar No. 13851

Brian A. Kahn

N.C. State Bar No. 29291

2

Anita Foss
N.C. State Bar No. 47743
Jessica L. O'Brien
N.C. State Bar No. 56679
Kelly A. Warlich
N.C. State Bar No. 51053
201 North Tryon Street, Suite 3000
Charlotte, NC 28202-2146
Telephone: (704) 343-2049
Facsimile: (704) 343-2300
bkutrow@mcguirewoods.com
bkahn@mcguirewoods.com
afoss@mcguirewoods.com
jobrien@mcguirewoods.com
kwarlich@mcguirewoods.com

Mark E. Anderson N.C. State Bar No. 15764 501 Fayetteville Street, Suite 500 Raleigh, NC 27601 Telephone: (919) 755-6678 Facsimile: (919) 755-6699 manderson@mcguirewoods.com

Anne Doherty N.C. State Bar No. 55494 Gateway Plaza 800 East Canal Street Richmond, VA 23219 Telephone: (804) 775-7633 Facsimile: (804) 775-1061 adoherty@mcguirewoods.com

Attorneys for Defendants TannerGAP, Inc. and Tanner Pharma UK Limited